

# Edgartown Planning Board Meeting Minutes

## Tuesday, January 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2017 at 5:30 PM

### First Floor Selectmen's Meeting Room – Town Hall

*Members in Attendance: Chairman Alan Wilson, Robert Cavallo, Michael McCourt, Robert Sparks, Fred Mascolo, and Alternate James Cisek*

*Staff in Attendance: Georgiana Greenough, Assistant; Lucy Morrison, Clerk*

Chairman Alan Wilson called the meeting to order at 5:30 PM.

#### **5:30 PM: Change of Access & Address: Angevin, 9 Angevin Way (20B-70.2) to Braley's Way**

*In Attendance: Margaret Curtain*

Ms. Curtain approached the Board. She explained that the property is located between Braley's Way and Angevin Way. Ms. Curtain mentioned that the house was being renovated and would be oriented towards Braley's Way, and the new driveway would be placed in front of the house from Braley's Way. There is an easement over the back of the property for the neighbor to use Angevin Way as their main access.

Mr. Cavallo stated that the application made perfect sense.

Ms. Greenough asked if the access onto Avegin Way would remain open. Ms. Curtain replied that the official address would be on Braley's Way, but that the access on Angevin Way would remain open because it crosses the property, and is the neighbor's main access.

Mr. Wilson mentioned that the Board does not usually allow two curb cuts, but noted that no parking spots would be lost due to the extra curb cut. Mr. Sparks added that the Board has no authority to deny an easement to the neighbors.

Mr. McCourt asked about drainage on the property. On the site visit he noticed that Braley's Way was downhill from the house, and stated he was concerned about the driveway spilling onto the street. Ms. Curtain stated that a driveway engineer has been hired to assess the situation.

There was no one present in the audience to speak on behalf of the application, and no letters were received.

Mr. Sparks moved to approve the application for the change of address and access, with the condition that there is no change in access for the neighbor, and that the issues with the driveway drainage be addressed. Mr. Cavallo requested a copy of the engineering plan, and seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously, 5-0.

#### **5:35 PM: Continuation of a Public Hearing: Stop & Shop. Response to Board questions.**

*In Attendance: Geoghan Coogan, Dave Taglianetti, Lisa Davis, Randy Hart, Greg O'Brien, Mary McEvoy, Fielding Moore, Peter Vincent, Adam Turner, Priscilla Leclerc, Dan Doyle, Angie Grant*

Mr. Coogan thanked the Board for holding the third public hearing on the application. Mr. Coogan announced that the bank has been included back on the plan in the front of the site to the left of the store. The bank will be pushed further to the west from where it is currently. The bank will displace 21 parking spaces, but the loss will not have much of an impact on the lot, and the number of spaces (182) is still well over what is required. Mr. McCourt asked if the bank parking would be separate from Stop & Shop parking. Mr. Hart stated that there would not be separate parking, and that the drive-thru lane would now be located in front of the bank, instead of behind. Mr. McCourt also asked if there were any concerns about pedestrian crossings conflicting with the bank traffic. Mr. Taglianetti explained that once the bank design the building, the Stop & Shop team can add crosswalks to clarify those intersections and make it safer. He stated that the team has not yet received that information. Fielding Moore, President of Edgartown National bank, gave a copy of the plans to Mr. Taglianetti.

Mr. Mascolo asked about handicapped spaces. Mr. Hart stated that the handicapped spaces have not been officially designated yet, but that there will certainly be some, and that they will be placed in strategic locations that are convenient to both the bank and the Stop & Shop.

Mr. Sparks asked Mr. Hart to trace where the trucks would enter and turn around on the map. Mr. Hart did so, and explained that the traffic would not be changing because of the bank.

Mr. Wilson asked if the trucks would stay on the property overnight. Mary McEvoy replied that the trucks stay only under extreme circumstances. She stated that there was only one time the trucks have stayed on the property overnight since 2012, and it was due to a power outage. She stated that even then, the trucks were only running when needed.

Mr. Mascolo asked if the 53 foot trucks would be able to make the turns in the parking lot. Mr. Hart stated that the turning angles were softened throughout the parking lot to ensure that the large trucks would be able to pass. Ms. McEvoy commented that most of the trucks are 48 feet.

Mr. Sparks asked to confirm that there are no wetlands issues on the site. Mr. Taglianetti stated no, and that a wetlands biologist has walked the site.

Mr. Coogan reviewed the list of concerns that the Board submitted to the team after the last hearing:

**Detailed landscaping and lighting plan:** Mr. Coogan distributed a preliminary plant schedule and landscaping plan. He stated that the lighting would be to code. He added that as the application moves through the MVC and back to the Planning Board, the landscaping and lighting plans will be updated and added to accordingly.

**Construction plan:** Mr. Coogan explained that the construction plan is dependent on the permits. He stated that the project will be done in stages, to allow the store to remain open throughout construction. He explained that the addition would be built first, and then the store would be moved

into the new space, to renovate the old space. He stated that the full plans would be submitted later, when more information is available.

**Wider parking spaces:** Mr. Coogan stated that the team is still considering wider parking spaces on the sides of the parking lot, but not in front. He stated that the angled parking spots in the front are designated in the lot by brick design, which would be difficult to change. The team would need to pave over the brick. He stated that the front would remain one-way circulation. The team will maintain the existing front spaces, and add some wider spots on the sides of the lot.

Mr. Sparks stated that he visited six different Stop & Shops over the last few months. He stated that all of them have carriage storage on the inside of the store. Mr. Taglianetti stated that the team is still considering options to move the cart storage inside, but that it is a work in progress. He stated that the team understands that it is a goal for the Board. He added that the team is also looking to designate other storage areas for carts.

Ms. Davis stated that most Stop & Shop stores are in excess of 60,000 square feet. She stated that even after the expansion, the 40,000 square foot proposal will be much smaller than most stores. She stated that in order for as much to happen inside as planned, cramming the carts in could create congestion.

Mr. Mascolo asked if the roof overhang on sidewalk would be extended to protect from people from the rain. Mr. Taglianetti stated that the overhang has been extended.

**Employee Parking:** Mr. Coogan explained that Stop & Shop employees are union employees, and under federal law, they cannot be told where to park. He stated that he understood that the Board was concerned about employees taking spaces away from customers, but it would be illegal to require them to park offsite.

Ms. McEvoy stated that more than half of the employees do not drive, and that most take the bus. She added that in the summer, the employee parking is in the western lot behind the bank.

**Snow removal:** Mr. Coogan stated that the snow would be stored on site, in the far corners of the property.

Mr. Mascolo asked about the restrictions on hauling snow. Peter Vincent, a member of the Conservation Commission, stated that it would depend on salt use and where it would be dumped. Mr. Coogan stated that the team planned to maintain snow piles on site. He added that the stormwater management system includes water treatment.

**New access across from Pinehurst:** Mr. Coogan stated that there is ongoing management in place to monitor and address the impacts of the aligned entrance.

**Bus stop:** Mr. Coogan explained that the bus stop in front of the bank would be pushed further west than where it is now. He stated that the team decided not to bring the VTA route into the parking lot.

Angie Grant, from the VTA, stated that the VTA was content staying on the road. She stated the realignment of the entrance to Pinehurst Rd will be a big improvement. She thought that it would help

with the overall flow in and out of town. She stated that many employees and others are public transit dependent, and that an appropriate permanent bus shelter would be the best possible scenario.

On the other side of the street, the state highway is not wide enough to support a pull-off stop or a shelter.

Ms. Grant stated that she is working with the Stop & Shop team to finish the details. She stated that the proposal for a permanent bus shelter would need to go to the Mass Department of Transportation for permission. She stated that funds for the construction and maintenance of a shelter have not been worked out yet, but ideally, would not exclusively use public monies.

Ms. Greenough asked for clarification on the pedestrian walkways through the parking lot. Mr. Taglianetti showed the paths.

Mr. Wilson stated he was concerned about carts being left at bus stop.

Ms. Grant asked if the crosswalk on the western entrance had been moved from its current position. Mr. Taglianetti said yes, that it would now be perpendicular, instead of diagonal, across the street, directly to the bus stop.

**Traffic study:** Mr. Coogan stated that a traffic study will be fully vetted through the MVC.

**Drainage:** Mr. Coogan stated that the team will need to come back with a fully developed plan once fully engineered and vetted by the MVC.

Mr. Taglianetti presented the existing conditions analysis. He added that the drainage report will propose a remedy and grading plan for the expansion and the storm water management areas. The report will be submitted to the Town, the MVC, the Mass Department of Transportation, and an engineers review.

Mr. McCourt stated that on the site visit, he noticed the new addition will need to be graded quite a bit. Mr. Taglianetti explained that the team proposes to cut the grade back by about 4 feet, and then feather it into the existing elevations. The team will be removing about 3.5 to 4 feet in the corner of the property. The elevation is 21 feet under existing conditions; the proposed elevation is 17.6 feet. The difference will be graded back to the existing elevations at the property lines in the back.

Mr. Taglianetti explained that the soil is decent sandy material, that is receptive to storm water infiltration and has a low groundwater table. He stated that there were no concerns that the storm water system would fail, but the layout needs to be finalized in the plan before completing the report.

**Crosswalks:** Mr. Taglianetti stated that crosswalks were added on the sides of the building. He stated that there needs to be a balance of parking and walkways to find the best use of the space. He stated that designated space for a walkway that wouldn't be used is not efficient.

Mr. Cavallo noticed that there was a crosswalk across Upper Main Street that fed directly into the entrance on the western side. He suggested that another direct connection be added on the eastern side.

**Widening sidewalk in front:** Mr. Coogan stated that if the sidewalk in front of the store were to be expanded much further, the team would need to move the parking spaces in the front of the store, which would not be effective. He suggested that the carts be spread out throughout the property, and that only one lane of carts be maintained on the sidewalk.

Mr. Cavallo encouraged the team to continue to try to relocate the shopping carts, and increase the number of small carts.

**Noise Reduction:** Mr. Coogan stated that the sensors for the noise study were installed on January 5<sup>th</sup>, and that the report would be ready by the end of the month. He stated that the team would be able to more appropriately determine sound mitigation measures after the study is complete.

Mr. Mascolo encouraged the team to assume the worst case scenario with the sound study. He suggested that the study be conducted with the most noise to imitate the conditions in the summer.

**Zoning:** Mr. Coogan reviewed the zoning for the B-II Business District and compared the project to each requirement.

**Other Concerns:** Mr. Wilson asked about the number and location of bike racks. Mr. Taglianetti stated that the bike racks would provide spaces for about 30 bicycles, but the location of the racks was still being worked out.

Mr. Cisek asked if the store had a back-up generator. Ms. McEvoy stated that it did, and that it is located upstairs next to the manager's office, directly over the frozen foods inside.

Peter Vincent asked if any consideration had been given to turning Upper Main Street into a three lane road from the triangle to cannonball park. He stated that the summer traffic has increased and creates a bottleneck. The Board explained that the idea was presented to the Department of Transportation, and was denied.

Mr. McCourt asked if the Stop & Shop team had any pull with the Department of Transportation to get the third lane approved. Mr. Hart said no, and the rest of the team agreed.

Mr. Mascolo moved to refer the application to the MVC. Mr. Sparks seconded, and the motion passed unanimously, 5-0.

Mr. Turner stated that the Planning Board has done a great job vetting the application, and commended the work that has been put in. He stated that the MVC hearings will be held in Edgartown.

**6:15 PM: Katama Airfield, 12 Mattakessett Way (45-25) SP: Katama Airfield & Conservation District. Demolish and rebuild a larger hangar (60' x 105').**

*In Attendance: Hal Findlay, Peter Vincent*

Mr. Findlay approached the Board to explain the application. He stated that a special permit for the same request was granted about 10-12 years ago, and has expired. Mr. Findlay explained this application as a request for re-approval, since the designs are exactly the same. He stated that all the necessary permits have been granted from the State, and the applicants have the consent from the Nature Conservancy.

Mr. Findlay added that the hangar design was approved by the Historic District Commission, even though the property is not located in their district. Mr. Wilson asked why the applicants sought HDC approval; Mr. Findlay stated that the team wanted the new hangar to resemble the historic designs as much as possible.

Mr. Cavallo asked why the applicants were replacing the hangar; Mr. Findlay stated that the existing one is in poor condition and is very dangerous.

Mr. Mascolo stated that he was on the Board when Ted Morgan made the first presentation to replace the hangar. He stated that the Katama Airpark is a unique feature to the island and to the country. He commented that there are very few places in the U.S. like it, if any. He stated that it is an island treasure that needs to be protected. He stated that he viewed the application as an investment in the airplanes, and in the safety of the overall operation.

Mr. Sparks asked to confirm that all permits needed from state and the Nature Conservancy have been obtained, and that there was no other permits left outstanding. Mr. Findlay stated that he believed the application was complete, pending Planning Board approval.

Mr. Cavallo asked who would be paying for the construction of the new hangar. Mr. Findlay stated that there was a donation campaign that raised a considerable amount of money, as well as CPC funds available.

Mr. Findlay stated that the hangar will have run off basins, and that the new hanger is basically the same as what currently exists.

Mr. Wilson asked about the setbacks. Mr. Findlay stated that the front of the hangar is in line with the round top hangar, and has been moved back 2-3 feet from the existing hangar. The difference will allow the airport manager to have better visibility.

Ms. Greenough asked if the Woods Hole building or the propane tanks would need to be moved. Mr. Findlay said no, that they will fit where they are. He mentioned that Jim Kelley designed the plan. The Board asked about a contractor. Mr. Findlay stated that the project will go out to bid to find a builder.

Mr. Cavallo moved to approve the plan as presented. Mr. Mascolo seconded, and the motion passed unanimously, 5-0.

**6:25 PM: Continuation of a Public Hearing: Schreiber, 128 Herring Creek Rd (45-362.5) SP: Construct in-ground 18' x 46' swimming pool with associated utilities and related hardscaping and landscaping**

*In Attendance: Chuck Creighton*

Mr. Creighton approached the Board and provided the pool checklist and an updated plan. He also distributed a plan for a utility shed on a concrete pad.

Mr. Cavallo asked if the pool would fall within the 200 foot buffer on Proprietor's Road. Mr. Creighton stated that he did not think it would, since the pool would be south of the buffer.

Mr. Mascolo stated that he was content with the noise suppression with the shed.

Mr. Wilson closed the public hearing at 6:31 PM.

Ms. Greenough asked if there were any plans for emptying the pool. Mr. Creighton stated that he did not know, but that Mr. Rosbeck would. Ms. Greenough stated that the water would likely need to be shipped off-site, or at least distributed away from the wetlands.

Mr. Sparks moved to approve the application as presented with standard pool conditions, and the conditions that the Board be updated on plans for emptying pool, and given more clarification on the 200 foot buffer. Mr. Mascolo seconded, and the motion passed unanimously, 5-0.

**6:33 PM: 54 Oyster Watcha Rd Realty Trust/Lurie (41-1.2) SP: Coastal & Ponds Districts. Remove existing swimming pool, construct new 59' x 28' swimming pool, spa, hardscapes and landscaping around pool and dwelling, and related site activities.**

*In Attendance: Doug Hoehn*

Ms. Greenough read the public notice.

Mr. Hoehn approached the Board and distributed a copy of the plans. The existing pool is 53 feet by 20 feet. The proposed pool is six feet longer, with the same width, and located in the same place. The proposed pool was described as 63 feet by 24 feet on the application, but was measured from the outer foundation, which adds two feet on all sides. Mr. Hoehn clarified that he would define the pool as 59 feet by 20 feet.

Mr. Hoehn explained that the pool would be the same distance from the existing pool house. He stated that there would be seven feet from the pool foundation to the pool fence. The pool fence would be installed on the Ponds District 100 foot line, with a small, seven foot section of pavers and patio within the Ponds 100 foot zone. Mr. Hoehn stated that he did not anticipate this being an issue, since patios are not considered structures. He stated that the fence would be similar to the current fence. He added that the applicants will be replacing the wood decking with limestone terraces and pavers. Mr. Hoehn stated that the application has been approved by the Conservation Commission. The existing pool equipment will be used for the new pool. Mr. Hoehn stated that the equipment is not enclosed, but is located off to the side at the back left of the pool house. He added that the property is about 15 acres. Mr. Mascolo stated that he was content that the noise would not be an issue for the neighbors, due to the size of the property.

Mr. Hoehn stated that a dry well will be installed near the equipment. He added that the pool will be treated with a soft salt filtration system, and an ozone sanitation system, so it will not be a full chlorinated pool.

Mr. Mascolo moved to approve the application with the set of standard pool conditions, including the Wilson amendment. Mr. Cavallo seconded, and the motion passed unanimously, 5-0.

**6:40 PM: Continuation of a Form C Subdivision: Taylor, 27 Mill Hill Rd (29-57.41) Confirm expiration of covenants.**

*In Attendance: Doug Hoehn, Mark Taylor, Karen Zingg, Ezra Sherman*

Mr. Hoehn stated that nothing about the application has changed, and reminded the Board that the purpose of the continuation was to confirm that the covenants have expired. He provided a copy of the statute, a copy of the deed, and a letter from the State stating the opinion that the restrictive covenants expired in 2016.

Mr. Wilson stated that the application was not referred to Town Counsel, and that the assistant was able to answer the Board's questions with her own research.

Karen Zingg wrote a letter of support on January 3, 2017. James Wilson also wrote a letter of support, so long as the subdivision met all legal requirements, on January 3, 2017.

Mr. Cavallo asked about a Form F covenant, and a road maintenance agreement.

Mr. Hoehn stated that there will be a private 8 foot wide road with a 4 foot pull-off area, and utilities will be installed. The Form F stated that no lot would be sold, or built upon, until after the installation of a road, utilities and water.

There were no comments from audience.

Mr. Wilson closed the public hearing at 6:49 PM.

Mr. Cavallo stated that he had no problems with the application.

Mr. Sparks moved to approve the Form C as presented with the previous conditions. Mr. Cavallo seconded, and the motion passed unanimously, 5-0.

**6:45 PM: Public Hearing: Weisblatt, 9 Thaxter Lane (45-16.21) SP: Katama. Construct an 18' x 40' in-ground swimming pool, landscaping, and associated utilities on a pre-existing non-conforming lot.**

*In Attendance: Dave Hobaica, Mel Weisblatt*

Ms. Greenough read the public hearing notice.

Mr. Hobaica approached the Board and submitted the pool checklist. He stated that on the site visit, Board members had requested that the pool equipment be enclosed in a shed. He stated that the plans have been modified to accommodate that request. He presented a picture of the proposed shed.



Mr. Hobaica stated that the pool fence will be a cedar three rail fence with chain link mesh attached that will blend into the trees.

Mr. Mascolo discussed noise suppression materials with the applicants.

Mr. McCourt asked if the three-rail fence would be up to code to serve as a pool fence. Mr. Hobaica replied that the 1"x1" chain link mesh that would be attached to the fence bring it up to code.

The Board reviewed the Wilson amendment, which dictates that a life saving device needs to be available near the pool.

Mr. Mascolo moved to approve the application with the set of standard pool conditions, including the Wilson amendment. Mr. Sparks seconded, and the motion passed unanimously, 5-0.

**6:55 PM: Discussion – Permit: 2 Beach Plum Meadows (45-47) Change of address to Katama Drive**

*In Attendance: Steve Pogue*

Mr. Pogue approached the Board with a proposed layout for the property. Lot A has been sold from the original subdivision. Mr. Pogue also provided a copy of the original decision with the conditions for approval, which included a 25 foot no-cut rear buffer. Mr. Pogue explained that a rear yard is defined as the lot line opposite to the front door, which would be on Katama Drive in this case, which would not accomplish the intent behind the no-cut buffer. He asked if that provision was still applicable to Lot A.

Ms. Greenough stated that it was up to the Board to determine appropriateness. She also noted that in some places, the no-cut buffer is listed as 50 feet, and as 25 feet in others.

Mr. Cavallo stated that he was concerned about rescinding an earlier Board's conditions without understanding the context that the condition was placed under. Ms. Greenough stated that the numbers need to be figured out, since she did not know which number was correct. She stated that the Board has been using the 25 foot setback, because it was what was noted in the decision. She stated that the Planning Board can make exception for that lot.

Mr. Sparks stated that he remembered the intent on Lot A was for it to be exempt from the restrictions.

Mr. Pogue stated that he will return to the Board at a later date.

**7:09 PM: Form A: CKV Fuller St LLC, 28 Fuller St (20B-40) Lot line adjustment.**

*In Attendance: Doug Hoehn*

Mr. Hoehn presented the application. He explained that the property is a vacant lot owned by Christopher Celeste, who also owns 107 Pease's Point Way, which abuts the property on the northwest side. Mr. Celeste would like to build a fireplace/fire pit on the lot. The Building Inspector has determined the fireplace/fire pit would be considered a structure, and would require a primary structure on the property, because accessory structures are not allowed on lots without primary structures. Mr. Hoehn

stated that the applicant is moving the property line so that the house and the fire pit would be on the same lot.

Mr. Mascolo moved to endorse the Form A. Mr. McCourt seconded, and the motion passed unanimously, 5-0.

**7:14 PM: Minor Modification to SP: Conway, 75 Edgartown Bay Rd (46-53) Modify a special permit granted in September by including a spa and correcting language in the decision.**

*In Attendance: Doug Hoehn*

Mr. Hoehn explained that the application was approved by the Board in September 2016, and that over the course of the application process between many boards, there were some discrepancies in language in the decision. Mr. Hoehn stated that the applicants were approved to tear down and add a garage attached to the house. The landscape architect's plan added a spa, and was approved by the Conservation Commission. The new decision reflected the addition of a spa.

Ms. Greenough presented a corrected decision which the Board reviewed. She explained that the new decision would supersede the old decision. She clarified that nothing about the pool had been changed.

Mr. Cavallo moved to rescind and replace the decision. Mr. Mascolo seconded, and the motion passed unanimously, 5-0.

**Continuation of a Form C Subdivision: Taylor, 27 Mill Hill Rd (29-57.41) Confirm expiration of covenants.**

The Board discussed the best way to proceed. Troy and Donna Bettencourt had entered the meeting at 6:57 PM, after mistakenly being told that the Taylor public hearing would be held at 7:10 PM.

The Bettencourts asked if the Board could rescind its prior approval. Ms. Greenough said that they could, if agreed to. The Board assured the Bettencourts that they would have the opportunity to speak.

Mr. Mascolo asked about legal ramifications of this situation, where the public hearing was closed and a decision had been made, and suggested that Town Counsel be consulted.

Mr. Cavallo suggested that the hearing be re-opened and continued to a later date. Mr. Hoehn stated that the applicants will likely have scheduling conflicts. He stated that he felt confident that he could represent the applicants if the Board re-opened the hearing now.

Mr. Wilson decided to re-open the public hearing to allow testimony from the Bettencourts.

Troy and Donna Bettencourt approached the Board. Mrs. Bettencourt stated that they had reviewed all of the documents that were received at the last hearing. She stated that the road is only 10 feet wide. Over the summer, there was a situation where a deck collapsed, and ambulances and fire trucks could not access the emergency because the road was too narrow. She stated that adding more homes, and more vehicles, to the road in its current condition is dangerous and irresponsible. She stated that she would be supportive of the application if the road were widened to an acceptable width.

The Board recounted that incident and asked how it was dealt with. Mrs. Bettencourt stated that the emergency vehicles came off of Herring Creek Rd and had to break through fences to reach the property.

She emphasized that the residents on Mill Hill Rd need adequate access. She stated that adding more lots on the road means a greater risk for everyone. Clear from PD that road is an issue.

Mr. Cisek agreed that a narrow road is a safety issue.

Mrs. Bettencourt respectfully requested that the Planning Board look into making the road wider. She stated that if the Board is going to allow people to subdivide their property, the road needs to be widened. She added that almost everyone on that road has large lots that could be subdivided under the zoning in the district, and there are still undeveloped properties along the road as well.

Mr. Bettencourt stated that the road has a 20 foot right of way, and that only 10 feet are paved.

Mr. Cavallo suggested that the Board ask the Board of Selectmen to widen the pavement on the road.

Ms. Greenough recounted the situation when Mullen Way residents asked to widen their road, and the Town denied the request. Mrs. Bettencourt clarified that Mullen Way request was more complicated because it involved the widening of the right of way, not just the road.

Mr. Wilson closed the public hearing at 7:34 PM.

Mr. Cavallo moved to request the Board of Selectmen to widen Mill Hill Rd due to safety reasons. Mr. Mascolo seconded, and the motion passed unanimously, 5-0.

Ms. Greenough apologized again to the Bettencourts for the time mix-up and confusion.

The next meeting was scheduled for January 17<sup>th</sup>, but will only four Board members will be present.

Mr. Sparks moved to adjourn. Mr. Cavallo seconded, and the motion passed unanimously, 5-0. The meeting was adjourned at 7:38 PM.

Respectfully Submitted,

Lucy Morrison

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Alan O. Wilson, Chairman*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Robert Cavallo*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Fred Mascolo*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Michael McCourt*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*James Cisek, Alternate*

Edgartown Planning Board  
Date signed: \_\_\_\_\_