

EDWARD J. COLLINS, JR. CENTER FOR PUBLIC MANAGEMENT JOHN W. MCCORMACK GRADUATE SCHOOL OF POLICY AND GLOBAL STUDIES UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS BOSTON

100 MorrIssey Boulevard Boston, MA 02125-3393 P: 617.287.4824 F: 617.287.5566 mccormack.umb.edu/centers/cpm collins.center@umb.edu

# **COMPENSATION AND CLASSIFICATION STUDY**

## **TOWN OF EDGARTOWN**

**MARCH 2017** 

#### INTRODUCTION

The Collins Center for Public Management was engaged by the Town to create job descriptions, conduct a salary comparison and recommend a classification plan. After meeting with the Town, the project objectives were defined as follows:

- Develop new position descriptions with clear definitions of essential functions and requirements
- Evaluate and assign all positions to appropriate classifications in order to assure internal equity
- Conduct a salary survey of comparable municipalities in order to develop appropriate compensation levels, and to assure the external equity
- Recommend a classification system that provides for an equitable salary structure.

#### **Position Descriptions**

The Collins Center approach to creating accurate position descriptions is a comprehensive process. Rather than simply obtaining lists of duties, the Collins Center's practice is to gain a thorough understanding of the study positions. Employees are given a position questionnaire to complete. The position questionnaire elicits information about the position's core functions and responsibilities. For incumbents, the most difficult part about completing the position questionnaire is to remove themselves from the position and complete the position questionnaire as if it were for the position if it were vacant. It is important for the position to be evaluated, not the incumbent.

After the position questionnaires were completed, the Collins Center offered individual interviews with each of the incumbents. The purpose of the interviews is for the Collins Center to gain further knowledge about the position, understand the context of the position in the organization and to follow up on any information in the questionnaire that may be unclear. The interview also serves as an opportunity for the incumbents to participate in the process and explain the position they hold, which can be difficult on a standardized position questionnaire.

Once the interviews were conducted, draft position descriptions were created and distributed to employees and supervisors for comments. Comments were received by the Collins Center and used to create a final description. As a result of the comprehensive work done to create the draft, very few edits were requested. The final position descriptions, which are the result of the questionnaires, the interviews and drafts with employee and supervisor feedback have been delivered electronically under separate cover.

In addition to compliance with various laws, such as the Americans with Disabilities Act, having accurate position descriptions is an integral part of an organization's human resource management system. Position descriptions are key in recruitment, defining roles, responsibilities and expectations and evaluating performance.

#### Position Evaluation & Classification

Once position descriptions were drafted, the Collins Center used a point factor method to evaluate the positions. The application of an objective position evaluation system that is consistently applied to each position is critical in assuring the internal equity of a classification system. The point factor method is used to determine the internal groupings or classification of positions. Internal equity is often more important than external equity. If an organization does not have a fair and equitable classification plan, morale can suffer and turnover can be a problem. The ideas of favoritism and disparate treatment with respect to pay can be avoided with an objective, equitable classification system.

The evaluation system utilized by the Collins Center project team is one that has been administered successfully in many public organizations in Massachusetts. The factors used to evaluate the positions and recommended classification grades are:

Supervision Required	Complexity	Nature & Purpose of Contacts		
Supervisory Responsibility	Confidentiality	Occupational Risks		

Accountability	Education Required	Work Environment		
Judgment	Experience Required	Physical Requirements		

The above factors are also the sections of the position questionnaire distributed to and completed by the incumbents. By having the incumbents describe the position they hold with respect to each of the factors and then having the Collins Center follow up specifically in the interview to gain an understanding of how the position functions relative to the above factors is key in getting the ratings correct. Many of the factors are also sections of the positions descriptions.

The recommended classification system is comprised of 12grades which group positions based on similar ratings in the above categories. Each position was assigned a point factor in the categories above, resulting in a total point rating, which was used to determine the groupings of positions. The following table contains the recommended classification grades of each of the study positions.

GRADE	TITLES IN GRADE			
A	Clerk - Collector			
	Clerk – Finance Committee			
	Clerk – Planning			
	Custodian			
В	Junior Operator - Wastewater			
	Junior Operator - Water			
<u> </u>	Committee Asst. Affordable Housing			
_	Cook - COA			
	Department Asst - BOS/TA			
	Department Asst - Building			
	Department Asst - COA			
	Department Asst - Dredge			
	Department Asst - Harbormaster			
	Department Asst - Police			
	Department Asst - Waste Water			
	Department Asst - Water			
	Department/Library Assistant			
	Junior Operator - Wastewater			
	Library Assistant			

GRADE	TITLES IN GRADE			
D	Administrative Asst Water			
	Administrative Asst. to TA/BOS			
	Assistant Assessor			
	Assistant Collector			
	Assistant Town Accountant			
	Assistant Town Clerk			
	Assistant Treasurer			
	Data Collector			
	HEO, Laborer			
•	Program/Library Assistant			
E	Administrative Asst. II - Wastewater			
	Administrative Asst. II - Fire			
	Administrative Asst. II - Harbormaster			
	Administrative Asst. II - Police			
	Deputy Harbormaster			
	Deputy Shellfish Warden			
	Library Collection Specialist			
	Operator I - Water			
	Plant Operator – Wastewater			
	Working HEO Foreman			
F	ACO/Inspector			
	BOH Assistant			
	Cemetery Superintendent			
	Conservation Assistant			
	HEO/Mechanic/Operator			
	Historic District Comm Assistant			
	Library Circulation Coordinator			
	Outreach Worker - COA			
	Operator II - Water			
	Paramedic			
	Parks Department Administrator			
	Planning Assistant			
	Purchasing Agent			
	Technology, Reference & Patron Services Coord			
	Young Adult & Youth Services Coord./Asst.			
	Director ZBA Assistant			
G				
9	Chief Operator Wastewater Director of Senior Services			
	Highway General Foreman			
H	Ambulance Coordinator			
^^	Conservation Agent			
1	Conscivation agent			

GRADE	TITLES IN GRADE
I	Asst. Water Superintendent
	COA Administrator
	Health Agent
	Human Resources Director
	Inspector of Buildings
	Library Director
	Principal Assessor
	Town Accountant
	Town Clerk
·	Treasurer
J	Facility Manager - Wastewater
	Harbormaster
	IT Manager
	Shellfish Constable
	Water Superintendent
K	No positions in this grade at this time
L	Highway Superintendent/ Tree Warden

### Salary Survey

While the classification of positons and internal equity is most important in creating a comprehensive classification system, external salary data is useful to gain an understanding of how a municipality is compensating employees relative to the market for similar positions. A key indicator is that of turnover in positions. If a municipality is losing its people because of pay, it is an indicator that the compensation is low. However, just because people do not leave, does not mean the compensation is high. An external salary survey can help measure where the market is and can assist the town is determining the appropriate pay for each classification.

Surveys were distributed to municipalities to obtain comparable salary data. The following Towns participated in the survey: Aquinnah, Chilmark, Falmouth, Mashpee, Oak Bluffs, Tisbury, and West Tisbury.

The Town is unique because it is part of an Island. Finding comparable data can be a challenge. Clearly, all island towns are comparable because they are on the island, but size, wealth, development, economic and income factors are not all the same. In order

to bring in potential comparable markets, the towns of Falmouth and Mashpee were also included in the survey.

In addition to location, the fact that each municipality is unique, truly comparable data is often difficult to obtain. The role and responsibilities for some positions vary across municipal governments. While exact matches are not always possible, averages, medians, trends and similarities are able to be studied and evaluated. The survey sample of the island towns, plus Mashpee and Falmouth provided asufficient amount of data to evaluate and make recommendations on salary, particularly in the context of the recommended position classification and compensation schedule. The survey documents have been provided under separate cover.

#### **Discussion**

The Collins Center found most positions in the Town to be classified correctly. However, a few stood out as needing adjustment. Some of those included the administrative personnel in the regulatory departments. In many cases, what used to be secretarial support have grown to be staff or paraprofessional assistants. These positions, the Planning Assistant, the ZBA Assistant, Board of Health Assistant and the Assessing Assistant all work at a higher level that in the past.

The Town has clearly made the necessary move to recognize the critical need for a professional human resources professional. Municipalities expend the majority of their budgets on personnel costs. Especially in light of the increase in compliance and documentation requirements of federal and state laws and mandates, it is important from a practical and liability perspective to have a centralized human resources function and a professional human resources director. The role, responsibility, functions and comparable information from other towns warrants a change in the human resource position from a coordinator to a director.

The issue of longevity was reviewed. The intention of most longevity systems is to recognize and reward employees for year of service with the Town. In Edgartown,

longevity does recognize years of service with the Town, but has a disparate impact in the amounts of the awards given. By granting longevity as a percentage of salary, higher paid employees receive a significantly greater award for the same number of years of service as a lower paid employee. The Collins Center recommends the Town adopt a flat longevity schedule granting employees the same dollar value for the same increment of longevity. The Town may need to "grandfather" those employee receiving a higher amount until such time as those positions are vacated. The Personnel Board should review this issue and make a recommendation.

### **Recommended Compensation Schedule**

The Collins Center evaluated the survey data in conjunction with the groupings of positions to determine the recommended compensation schedule. The median minimum salary contained in the survey was used as a guideline in determining the minimum of the recommended compensation schedule. Determining the salary range is not only a science but an art and uses an analysis of the median minimum salary of each position in the grade.

The following table reflects the recommended pay ranges:

GRADE	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM		
A	\$19.75	\$25.18		
В	\$21.23	\$27.07		
C	\$22.82	\$29.10		
D	\$24.53	\$31.27		
E	\$26.37	\$33.62		
F	\$28.35	\$36.13		
G	\$30.48	\$38.84		
H	\$32.77	\$41.77		
I	\$35.23	\$44.92		
J	\$37.87	\$48.28		
K	\$40.71	\$51.89		
L_	\$43.76	\$55.80		

The Collins Center recommends a step system be put in place and merit be the basis for any increases and should be evaluated and recommended by the Personnel Board. A sample classification schedule is attached. It represents a schedule of 15 steps, with 1.75% between steps. The Collins Center recommends the Town develop a policy with respect to moving employees through the recommended compensation schedule. The Town will need to address the issue of the step rate anniversary. The Collins Center recommends all step increase be granted on July 1 of each year, making budgeting and processing simpler.

To avoid falling behind in the labor market, the Town should conduct a salary survey every 3-4 years to determine if the salary ranges are still comparable.

#### **CLOSING**

It has been a pleasure for the Collins Center for Public Management to work with the Town. We would particularly like to thank Ms. Elaine Gravesfor her assistance.

GRADE	TITLES IN GRADE			
A	Clerk - Collector			
	Clerk – Finance Committee			
	Clerk – Planning			
	Custodian			
В	Junior Operator - Wastewater			
	Junior Operator - Water			
<u> </u>	Committee Asst. Affordable Housing			
	Cook - COA			
	Department Asst - BOS/TA			
	Department Asst - Building			
,	Department Asst - COA			
·	Department Asst - Dredge			
	Department Asst - Harbormaster			
	Department Asst - Police			
,	Department Asst - Waste Water			
	Department Asst - Water			
	Department/Library Assistant			
	Library Assistant			
D	Administrative Asst Water			
	Administrative Asst. to TA/BOS			
	Assistant to the Assessor			
·	Assistant Collector			
	Assistant Town Accountant			
	Assistant Town Clerk			
	Assistant Treasurer			
	Data Collector			
	HEO, Laborer			
	Program/Library Assistant			
	Food Inspector			
E	Administrative Asst. II - Wastewater			
	Administrative Asst. II - Fire			
	Administrative Asst. II - Harbormaster			
	Administrative Asst. II - Police			
	Deputy Harbormaster			
	Deputy Shellfish Warden			
	Library Collection Specialist			
	Operator I - Water			
	Plant Operator – Wastewater			
	Working HEO Foreman			
	EMT - Basic			
${f F}$	ACO/Inspector			
	BOH Assistant			
	Cemetery Superintendent			

. .

GRADE	TITLES IN GRADE
	Conservation Assistant
	HEO/Mechanic/Operator
	Historic District Comm Assistant
	Library Circulation Coordinator
	Outreach Worker - COA
	Operator II - Water
8	Paramedic
	Parks Department Administrator
	Planning Assistant
	Purchasing Agent
	Technology, Reference & Patron Services Coord
	Young Adult & Youth Services Coord.
	ZBA Assistant
	Local Building Inspector
G	Chief Operator Wastewater
	Director of Senior Services
	Highway General Foreman
H	Ambulance Coordinator
	Conservation Agent
I	Asst. Water Superintendent
	COA Administrator
	Health Agent
	Human Resources Director
	Inspector of Buildings
	Library Director
	Principal Assessor
	Town Accountant
	Town Clerk
	Treasurer
J	Facility Manager - Wastewater
	Harbormaster
	IT Manager
	Shellfish Constable
	Water Superintendent
K	No positions in this grade at this time
L	Highway Superintendent/ Tree Warden

FY18 Wage Scale (Scale A) - proposed by Personnel Board

	Step	Step	Step	Step	Step	Step	Step	Step
GRADE	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Α	\$19.75	\$20.54	\$21.36	\$22.21	\$23.10	\$24.02	\$24.98	\$25.98
В	\$21.23	\$22.08	\$22.96	\$23.88	\$24.84	\$25.83	\$26.86	\$27.93
С	\$22.82	\$23.73	\$24.68	\$25.67	\$26.70	\$27.77	\$28.88	\$30.04
D	\$24.53	\$25.51	\$26.53	\$27.59	\$28.69	\$29.84	\$31.03	\$32.27
E	\$26.37	\$27.42	\$28.52	\$29.66	\$30.85	\$32.08	\$33.36	\$34.69
F	\$28.35	\$29.48	\$30.66	\$31.89	\$33.17	\$34.50	\$35.88	\$37.32
G	\$30.48	\$31.70	\$32.97	\$34.29	\$35.66	\$37.09	\$38.57	\$40.11
н	\$32.77	\$34.08	\$35.44	\$36.86	\$38.33	\$39.86	\$41.45	\$43.11
I	\$35.23	\$36.64	\$38.11	\$39.63	\$41.22	\$42.87	\$44.58	\$46.36
J	\$37.87	\$39.38	\$40.96	\$42.60	\$44.30	\$46.07	\$47 <sup>.</sup> 91	\$49.83
κ .	\$40.71 ·	\$42.34	\$44.03	\$45.79	\$47.62	\$49.52	\$51.50	\$53.56
L	\$43.76	\$45.51	\$47.33	\$49.22	\$51.19	\$53.24	\$55.37	\$57.58